

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,041	10/12/2004	Giovanni Baldi	NOTAR-010US	8868
7663 STETINA BRU	7590 03/07/200 JNDA GARRED & BR		EXAM	IINER
75 ENTERPRI	SE, SUITE 250	Giovanni Baldi NOTAR-010US 8868 UCKER GROUP, KARL E	KARL E	
ALISO VIEJO,	CA 92030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/07/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		L	/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/507,041	BALDI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karl E. Group	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,12 and 13 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7 and 12 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	e withdrawn from consideration	n.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	è
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/507,041 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 1-22-07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Group II is directed to the method of making the product of group I. This is not found persuasive because the process of Group II does not make the product of Group I. Claim 5 makes a precipitate, which is not a suspension.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, "the solvent" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 4, the Markush group describing M^{III} includes $CoAl_2O_4$, $TiSbO_2$, etc. which are compounds. Furthermore, there should be an "and" before the last member of each Markush group such as before Cu.

Claim 13 the limitation "utilizing one of dialysis and ultrafiltration to perform eliminating the salts and replacing the solvent" is considered to render the claim indefinite since it is not clear how these processes perform these results. How does dialysis and ultrafiltration replace the solvent? Furthermore, the salts are no longer

Application/Control Number: 10/507,041

Art Unit: 1755

present because they are hydrolyzed. Also it cannot be seen how a suspension may be present in the centrifuging step if dialysis and ultrafiltration occurs.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tomisha et al (5,683,501).

See column 41, lines 8-14 where a suspension including a silica particle having a diameter of 35nm, ethylene glycol and water is taught.

It is noted that the reference does not teach that the composition can be used in the manner instantly claimed, however, the intended use of the claimed composition does not patentably distinguish the composition, per se, since such undisclosed use is inherent in the reference composition. In order to be limiting, the intended use must create a structural difference between the claimed composition and the prior art composition. In the instant case, the intended use does not create a structural difference, thus the intended use is not limiting. Please note that when applicant claims a composition in terms of function and the composition of the prior art appears to be the same, the Examiner may make a rejection under both 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, expressed as a 102/103 rejection (MPEP 2112).

7. Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Taniguchi et al (4,590,117).

Application/Control Number: 10/507,041

Art Unit: 1755

See column 16, lines 40-44, where a suspension including titanium oxide of a particle size of 50 nm, water and ethylene glycol is taught.

It is noted that the reference does not teach that the composition can be used in the manner instantly claimed, however, the intended use of the claimed composition does not patentably distinguish the composition, per se, since such undisclosed use is inherent in the reference composition.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hanich et al (6,228,160).

See column 8, lines 15-24 where a pigment of a particle size of less than 100 nm is taught.

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jenkins (4,448,608).

See column 4, lines 20-34, where a pigment having a particle size of 230-360 nm is taught.

10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Patil (4,289,745).

Particles having a size of .0-.13 microns are taught, see Figures 1 and 2, column 5, lines 14-20.

11. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Patil (4,292,294).

Column 5, lines 1-5 teach a particle size less than .1 micron.

Art Unit: 1755

In product-by-process claims, "once a product appearing to be substantially identical is found and a 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejection [is] made, the burden shifts to the applicant to show an unobvious difference." MPEP 2113. This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 is proper because the "patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. This application contains claims 5-7,12 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karl E Group
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755